



## Registry Services Evaluation Policy (RSEP) Request

October 12, 2018

### Registry Operator

Aruba PEC S.p.A.  
Via Sergio Ramelli, 8  
Arezzo, Arezzo 52100

### Request Details

Case Number: 00905562

This service request should be used to submit a Registry Services Evaluation Policy (RSEP) request. An RSEP is required to add, modify or remove Registry Services for a TLD. More information about the process is available at <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/rsep-2014-02-19-en>

Complete the information requested below. All answers marked with a red asterisk are required. Click the Save button to save your work and click the Submit button to submit to ICANN.

### PROPOSED SERVICE

#### 1. Name of Proposed Service

Registration Validation per Applicable Law

#### 2. Technical description of Proposed Service. If additional information needs to be considered, attach one PDF file

This Registry Services Evaluation Policy (RSEP) request is submitted by Aruba PEC S.p.A. (Registry Operator) for the .cloud Top Level Domain (TLD).

Registry Operator is seeking to comply with laws in certain jurisdictions (the Local Laws) which require the submission of accurate data for storage in the Registry database and the subsequent validation of that information.

Registry Operator, via a third party authorized by Registry Operator, monitors domain name registrations for which Local Laws apply and ensures that the information is validated as required.

If additional information needs to be considered, attach one file

## CONSULTATION

3. Please describe with specificity your consultations with the community, experts and or others. What were the quantity, nature and content of the consultations?

Registry Operator's consultations with the community are described in the following sections.

3a. If the registry is a sponsored TLD, what were the nature and content of these consultations with the sponsored TLD community?

Not applicable.

3b. Were consultations with gTLD registrars or the registrar constituency appropriate? Which registrars were consulted? What were the nature and content of the consultation?

Registry Operator has consulted with a number of Registrars who have strongly encouraged Registry Operator to implement the process.

3c. Were consultations with other constituency groups appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?

Consultations with other constituency groups were not appropriate as no other constituency group is affected by Registry Operator's efforts to comply with Local Laws.

3d. Were consultations with end users appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?

Registry Operator has, through its Registrars, communicated with many impacted registrants. These registrants have expressed their desire to ensure that their registration of the domain name is compliant with the Local Laws. The impacted registrants desire for Registry Operator to comply with Local Laws so that they can use their domain names in compliance with Local Laws.

3e. Who would endorse the introduction of this service? What were the nature and content of these consultations?

All registrants and Registrars who are affected by the applicable Local Laws would endorse Registry Operator's effort to comply with these Local Laws.

3f. Who would object the introduction of this service? What were (or would be) the nature and content of these consultations?

We believe that no parties have any legitimate reason to object to Registry Operator's compliance with the Local Laws.

## **TIMELINE**

4. Please describe the timeline for implementation of the proposed new registry service.

All required elements for implementation are in place and final steps are dependent on ICANN's review of this Proposed Service.

## **BUSINESS DESCRIPTION**

5. Describe how the Proposed Service will be offered.

If pertinent domain name data cannot be validated under Local Laws Registry Operator may suspend, delete or otherwise update domain names that do not pass validation.

6. Describe quality assurance plan or testing of Proposed Service.

Registry Operator requires Registrars to ensure that they provide accurate data for registrants. Registry Operator has also engaged a local provider suitably qualified to advise on accuracy and compliance with Local Laws.

7. Please list any relevant RFCs or White Papers on the proposed service and explain how those papers are relevant.

There are no known relevant RFCs or white papers on complying with data accuracy requirements under Local Laws.

## CONTRACTUAL PROVISIONS

8. List the relevant contractual provisions impacted by the Proposed Service.

No existing contractual provisions are impacted, however an amendment is proposed, please see section 12.

9. What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the reporting of data to ICANN.

There will be no effect on the reporting of data to ICANN.

10. What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the Whois?

There will be no effect on the WHOIS service.

If additional information needs to be considered, attach one PDF file.

11. What effect, if any, will the proposed service have on the price of a domain name registration?

There will be no effect on the price of a domain name registration.

If additional information needs to be considered, attach one file.

## CONTRACT AMENDMENTS

12. Please describe or provide the necessary contractual amendments for the proposed service

Registry Operator understands that ICANN has standard templated Registry Agreement amendment templates for commonly requested Registry Services. Registry Operator agrees to enter into an amendment substantially similar to the one found at the following link:

<https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/ra-amend-template-registration-validation-applicable-law-without-proxy-29jan18-en.pdf>

## **BENEFITS OF PROPOSED SERVICE**

13. Describe the benefits of the Proposed Service.

This service will allow impacted registrants of .cloud domain names to continue to register and renew domain names and to legally use their domain names once they are registered.

## **COMPETITION**

14. Do you believe your proposed new Registry Service would have any positive or negative effects on competition? If so, please explain.

Ensuring compliance with Local Laws will provide competition within local national markets.

15. How would you define the markets in which your proposed Registry Service would compete?

Any market/s where registrants are legally required to provide accurate registration information.

16. What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to your proposed Registry Service?

Registry Operators that are also required to comply with Local Laws may provide services that are similar to the proposed Registry Service. ICANN has approved similar requests for some of those Registry Operators.

17. In view of your status as a registry operator, would the introduction of your proposed Registry Service potentially impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete?

The introduction of the proposed Registry Service would not impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete.

18. Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed Registry Service? If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor, and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide.

Yes. Internet Domain Name System Beijing Engineering Research Center Ltd. (ZDNS) will carry out validation processes and work with Registry Operator to comply with Local Laws.

19. Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please describe the communications.

Not applicable.

20. Do you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please submit them with your application. (ICANN will keep the documents confidential).

Not applicable.

If additional information needs to be considered, attach one PDF file.

## **SECURITY AND STABILITY**

21. Does the proposed service alter the storage and input of Registry Data?

The Proposed Service does not alter the storage and input of Registry Data.

22. Please explain how the proposed service will affect the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of responses to Internet servers or end systems.

The Proposed Service will have no effect on the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of responses to Internet servers or end systems.

23. Have technical concerns been raised about the proposed service, and if so, how do you intend to address those concerns?

No technical concerns have been raised.

## OTHER ISSUES

24. Are there any Intellectual Property considerations raised by the Proposed Service?

There are no Intellectual Property considerations raised by the Proposed Service.

If additional information needs to be considered, attach one PDF file.

25. Does the proposed service contain intellectual property exclusive to your gTLD registry?

Not applicable.

26. List Disclaimers provided to potential customers regarding the Proposed Service.

Not applicable.

If additional information needs to be considered, attach one PDF file.

27. Please provide any other relevant information to include with the request.

Not applicable.

Click the Save button to save your work and click the Submit button to submit to ICANN.

### Affected TLDs

Current Registry Operator	Top Level Domain	Registry Agreement Date
Aruba PEC S.p.A.	cloud	2015-04-16



ICANN

---